UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff, 5 Criminal No. 05-354 (JAF) 6 V. 7 HECTOR RENE LUGO-RIOS (01); 8 ANDRES CARRASQUILLO-COLON (02); 9 ELBA GARCIA-PASTRANA (03); 10 FELIPE ROMAN-LOZADA (04); 11 JESUS CARABALLO-ORTIZ (05); 12 LUIS ANDINO-DELBREY (06); 13 FRANCISCO MARTINEZ-IRIZARRY 14 (07);15 JUAN RAMOS-HERNANDEZ (08); 16 ENRIQUE VAZQUEZ-PRESTAMO (09); 17 JORGE L. URBINA-ACEVEDO (10); JUAN ROLDAN-VEGA (11), 18 19 Defendants. 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

ORDER ON FORFEITURE COUNTS

- 1. It appears that the two real properties described in Count One-Hundred and Forty-One of the Indictment belong to Héctor René Lugo-Ríos and his wife Gladys Serrano-Quintana.
- 2. It is not clear whether any of the seized accounts described in the Indictment and in <u>Docket Document No. 557 at \P 3</u> belong to any of the defendants or are simply Union accounts that may contain moneys originally destined to the Health Plan(s).
- 3. There is also specific cash and jewelry seized from Héctor René Lugo-Ríos, Andrés Carrasquillo-Colón, and Elba García-Pastrana.

Regarding the above, the court intends to enter a forfeiture judgment against the real properties, cash, and jewelry of Héctor René Lugo-Ríos up to and including the amount of \$5,802,693.00. We also intend to extend said judgment to any moneys belonging to or claimed by Héctor René Lugo-Ríos in the seized accounts up to \$5,802,693.00. The court does not intend to enter a forfeiture judgment against seized Union accounts, other than in reference to amounts contained therein that are Health Plan contributions. Even as to these, we will consider restitution of these amounts back to the AAA or to any legitimately-established Health Plan consistent with the original intent behind these moneys.

Regarding the other defendants and the forfeiture counts, the court intends to issue a judgment against any interest or participation these defendants may have in said cash, jewelry or seized accounts, jointly and severally against all of them, in the amount of \$5,802,693.00.

The parties are to respond and show cause, within the next ten

(10) days, as to why this action should not be taken. The government

will explain why a separate, related civil forfeiture action is not

the best course of action.

This Order disposes of <u>Docket Document Nos. 540 and 557</u>. The issue of forfeiture will not affect the previously-set sentencing hearings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Criminal No. 05-354 (JAF)

San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 28th day of November, 2006.

S/José Antonio Fusté
JOSE ANTONIO FUSTE
U. S. District Judge